

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/521,242	09/521,242 04/05/2000		Walton Sumner II	112962.201	6241
24395	7590	10/27/2003	EXAMINER		
HALE & D			DAVIS, GEORGE B		
		CE BUILDING A AVE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING		•	2121	10	
				DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003	11

Notice of Appeal Due 11/8/03

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





SEDIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE |



UNITED BY STANKING THE DESIGNATION AND TRADEMARKS WESTINGTON, D.C. 20231

 тива води о	A PERCART		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
1 ,			and the second of the second o
	•	1	EXAMINER
			ART ONE PAPER NUMBER
	/	-	17
		0.6	TS MARRON

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
Rate of the final rejection or continues to run 3 months from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of datermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
☐ Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 10/1 53 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. X Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered I will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims allowed:
Claims objected to:
Claims rejected:
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U.S.C. 102(0) hercivite Sumner's 1+101e nos different inventive intitudend teacher the claimed inventor
iticle has different inventive thirty and teacher the claimed inventor
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5. A the affidant of exhibit will have be considered become applicant has not shown good and sufficiently as not considered. However, & This I Ds
The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner because there figures is no arrow head on figure 19, "Relational "device and them figures"
Examiner did consider the
content and nature of printed GEORGE B. DAVIS prior at t.
PTOL-300 (REV. 5-89) SWYTOUNDING HS publication,